



(12) **United States Patent**
Chen

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,172,652 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Oct. 27, 2015**

(54) **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
DIAGNOSING FAULTS IN A HYBRID
INTERNET PROTOCOL NETWORK**

(71) Applicant: **AT&T Intellectual Property I, LP**,
Atlanta, GA (US)

(72) Inventor: **Weijing Chen**, Leander, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **AT&T Intellectual Property I, LP**,
Atlanta, GA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/208,765**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 13, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0192655 A1 Jul. 10, 2014

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/625,955, filed on
Sep. 25, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,711,700, which is a
continuation of application No. 12/701,020, filed on
Feb. 5, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,300,537, which is a
continuation of application No. 11/191,758, filed on
Jul. 28, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,684,335.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04L 12/28 (2006.01)
H04L 12/823 (2013.01)
H04L 12/26 (2006.01)
H04L 12/801 (2013.01)
H04L 12/24 (2006.01)
H04L 12/54 (2013.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 47/32** (2013.01); **H04L 12/2602**
(2013.01); **H04L 41/02** (2013.01); **H04L 43/00**
(2013.01); **H04L 43/12** (2013.01);
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H04W 80/04; H04W 8/26; H04L 12/56;
H04L 2012/56; H04L 45/28; H04L 41/0654;
H04L 2012/5627; H04L 47/10; H04L 5/0053;
H04L 12/5602; H04L 2012/5635
USPC 370/349, 389, 216, 236, 236.1, 236.2,
370/241, 241.1, 242, 248, 252, 253
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,519,704 A 5/1996 Farinacci et al.
5,818,838 A 10/1998 Backes et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP 2004/364065 12/2004


Primary Examiner — Brenda H Pham

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Guntin & Gust, PLC; Jay H.
Anderson

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An Ethernet switch can receive an internet protocol query packet generated by a receiver for collecting telemetry data between a source and the receiver in a multicast network, translate the internet protocol query packet to an Ethernet query packet, collect in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from the Ethernet switch where at least one telemetry field of the Ethernet Switch includes an arrival time of the Ethernet query packet at the Ethernet switch, and submit the Ethernet query packet to the next network element of the multicast network. An internet protocol router can receive an Ethernet query packet generated by an Ethernet switch having at least one field for telemetry for collecting telemetry data between a source and a receiver, translate the Ethernet query packet to an internet protocol query packet, and submit the internet protocol query packet to the next network element. Additional embodiments are disclosed.

17 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



| | | |
|------|---|---|
| (52) | U.S. Cl. | 6,785,274 B2 * 8/2004 Mahajan et al. 370/390 |
| | CPC H04L 47/10 (2013.01); H04L 12/56 | 6,795,855 B2 * 9/2004 Bonilla et al. 709/223 |
| | (2013.01); H04L 41/06 (2013.01); H04L 43/08 | 6,795,858 B1 9/2004 Jain et al. |
| | (2013.01); H04L 43/0852 (2013.01); H04L | 7,043,578 B2 * 5/2006 Hufferd 710/105 |
| | 43/0882 (2013.01) | 7,684,335 B2 * 3/2010 Chen 370/236 |
| | | 8,300,537 B2 * 10/2012 Chen 370/236.1 |
| | | 8,711,700 B2 * 4/2014 Chen 370/236 |
| (56) | References Cited | 2002/0170004 A1 11/2002 Parrett et al. |
| | U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS | 2003/0182269 A1 * 9/2003 Cheshire 707/3 |
| | | 2003/0200335 A1 10/2003 Choi et al. |
| | 6,480,508 B1 * 11/2002 Mwikalo et al. 370/475 | |
| | 6,693,909 B1 2/2004 Mo et al. | * cited by examiner |




FIG. 1 100




FIG. 2 112, 114






FIG. 3





1

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DIAGNOSING FAULTS IN A HYBRID INTERNET PROTOCOL NETWORK

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/625,955, filed Sep. 25, 2012, which is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/701,020 (now U.S. Pat. No. 8,300,537), filed Feb. 5, 2010, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/191,758 (now U.S. Pat. No. 7,684,335), filed Jul. 28, 2005, the disclosures of all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

FIELD

This disclosure relates generally to IP (Internet Protocol) diagnostic systems, and more particularly to a method and apparatus for diagnosing faults in a hybrid IP network.

BACKGROUND

As hybrid packet networks continue to evolve, the need for diagnosing troubled connections becomes increasingly important to the service provider of such networks. Hybrid IP (Internet Protocol) networks can include, for example, conventional IP routers and Ethernet switches for interconnecting parties. It is very common for these networks to support multicast communications (e.g., streaming video) between a source and many receivers such as computer terminals. When a user of a receiver experiences a communication interruption, it is common practice for the user to call the service provider and seek technical assistance.

Typically, service providers employ conventional diagnostic tools operating at the receiver of the end user to diagnose faults in the multicast connection. Tools such as "Mtrace" can be used to trace the multicast route from the receiver to the source. Consequently, the service provider can quickly diagnose, for example, a faulty router or links and thereby take evasive action to restore service to the end user. In hybrid networks, however, Ethernet switches serve as a pass-through devices in which IP mtrace packets are relayed between switches without inclusion of telemetry data from the switches. Consequently, if the communication issue arises from a fault at an Ethernet switch or sequence of switches, the service provider has no means of detecting the fault with a conventional Mtrace diagnostic test.

A need therefore arises for a method and apparatus for diagnosing faults in a hybrid IP network.

SUMMARY

Embodiments in accordance with the examples herein provide a method and apparatus for diagnosing faults in a hybrid IP network.

In a first embodiment, an IP router and an Ethernet switch, respectively, has a computer-readable storage medium for conducting diagnostics in a multicast network having network elements comprising one or more IP (Internet Protocol) routers and Ethernet switches. The storage medium of an Ethernet switch has computer instructions for receiving an IP query packet generated by a receiver for collecting telemetry data between a source and the receiver, translating an IP query packet to an Ethernet query packet, collecting in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from the Ethernet switch, and

2

submitting the Ethernet query packet to the next network element of the multicast network. The storage medium of an IP router has computer instructions for receiving the Ethernet query packet, translating the Ethernet query packet to an IP query packet, collecting in the IP query packet telemetry data from the IP router, and submitting the IP query packet to the next network element of the multicast network.

In a second embodiment, an IP router and an Ethernet switch, respectively, operate according to a method for conducting diagnostics in a multicast network having network elements comprising one or more IP (Internet Protocol) routers and Ethernet switches. At an Ethernet switch, the method comprises the steps of receiving an IP query packet generated by a receiver for collecting telemetry data between a source and the receiver, translating an IP query packet to an Ethernet query packet, collecting in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from the Ethernet switch, and submitting the Ethernet query packet to the next network element of the multicast network. At an IP router, the method comprises the steps of receiving the Ethernet query packet, translating the Ethernet query packet to an IP query packet, collecting in the IP query packet telemetry data from the IP router, and submitting the IP query packet to the next network element of the multicast network.

In a third embodiment, an Ethernet switch has a communications interface, and a controller. The controller is programmed to receive an IP query packet generated by a receiver for collecting telemetry data between a source and the receiver in a multicast network, translate the IP query packet to an Ethernet query packet, collect in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from the Ethernet switch, and submit the Ethernet query packet to the next network element of the multicast network.

In a fourth embodiment, an IP router has a communications interface, and a controller. The controller is programmed to receive an Ethernet query packet generated by an Ethernet switch for collecting telemetry data between a source and a receiver in a multicast network, translate the Ethernet query packet to an IP query packet, collect in the IP query packet telemetry data from the IP router, and submit the IP query packet to the next network element of the multicast network.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is block diagram of a hybrid network of Ethernet switches and IP routers according to an embodiment of the present disclosure;

FIG. 2 is block diagram of the IP router and the Ethernet switch, respectively, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure;

FIG. 3 is block diagram depicting a format of an Ethernet query packet according to an embodiment of the present disclosure; and

FIGS. 4-5 depict flowcharts of methods operating in the Ethernet switches and IP routers, respectively, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

While the specification concludes with claims defining the features of the embodiments that are regarded as novel, it is believed that the embodiments will be better understood from a consideration of the following description in conjunction with the figures, in which like reference numerals are carried forward.

FIG. 1 is block diagram of a hybrid network 100 of Ethernet switches 114 and IP routers 112 according to an embodi-

ment. The present illustration represents a multicast network created between a source **102** (e.g., a server supplying streaming video) and a receiver **104** (e.g., a computer terminal of an end user). A multicast network in the present context extends the broadcast concept of one to many by allowing the sending of one transmission to many users in a defined group, but not necessarily to all users in that group.

Large service providers typically encounter a mix of network elements such as shown in FIG. 1. The Ethernet switches **114** can, for example, represent IGMP (Internet Group Management Protocol) snooping Ethernet switches. These switches can reside in a customers network, or can be supplied by the service provider. The IP routers **112** are conventional Internet Protocol routers available from many vendors such as, for example, Cisco™ Corporation.

FIG. 2 is basic block diagram of an IP router **112** and Ethernet switch **114**, respectively, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. Each device includes a communications interface **122** and a controller **124**. In the case of an IP router **112**, the communications interface **122** includes conventional technology that serves to interconnect the IP router **112** with other IP network elements of the hybrid network shown in FIG. 1. The interface **122** is bidirectional in that IP packets can either be generated or received at the interface and redirect to other network elements according to the routing tables established at the IP router **112**. The controller **124** utilizes conventional computing technology such as one or more microprocessors, DSPs (Digital Signal Processors) and associated media storage (e.g., RAM, SRAM, DRAM, Flash, or disk media) for controlling operations of the IP router **112** in accordance with the present disclosure.

Like the IP router **112**, the Ethernet switch **114** can include a communications interface **122** and a controller **124**. These components, however, are tailored for Ethernet traffic as defined by such standards as IEEE 802.3. The communications interface **122** in this instance supports CSMA/CD (Carrier Sense Multiple Access Collision Detection) access method to handle simultaneous demands. This interface is one of the most widely implemented LAN standards. A newer version of Ethernet, called 100Base-T (or Fast Ethernet) supports data transfer rates of 100 Mbps. The present disclosure, however, can be applied to any Ethernet switch **114** technology including 1G and 10G. The controller **124** in this embodiment can utilize conventional processing technology similar to what was described for the IP router **112** to support the functions of the Ethernet switch **114** in accordance with the present disclosure.

It should be noted that the communications interface **122** of the IP routers **112** and Ethernet switches **114**, respectively, also utilize conventional technology for hybrid interconnects between said systems thereby allowing the formation of the hybrid network shown in FIG. 1.

FIGS. 4-5 depict flowcharts of methods **200** and **300** operating in the Ethernet switches and IP routers, respectively, according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. Method **200** represents the flow of a diagnostic packet in a trace route **110** initiated by the receiver **104** of FIG. 1 under the control of, for example, a management system (not shown) of the service provider of the hybrid network connected to the receiver **104** by way of another communication link **105** of the service provider's network.

The trace route **110** traces each network element connecting the receiver **104** and the source **102** in a multicast network. Once the trace packet reaches the source **102**, a unicast IP response packet returns back on a response route **111**. The response route **111** can travel on the same network elements as did the trace route **110** or in a unicast path different from

these elements. In either case, the IP response packet travels in the reverse direction towards the receiver **104**. The IP response packet includes telemetry information collected from each network element connecting the source **102** and receiver **104**. The receiver **104** can process or relay this telemetry information to the service provider who in turn determines where and why a communication interruption may have occurred.

Referring back to FIG. 4, method **200** begins with step **202** where the Ethernet switch **114** receives a diagnostic packet. The diagnostic packet can be an IP query packet (such as an mtrace packet) or an Ethernet query packet. In the illustration of FIG. 1, the first network element coupled to the receiver **104** is an Ethernet switch **114**. In this illustration the Ethernet switch **114** receives an IP query packet from the receiver **104**. It will be appreciated that the multicast network of FIG. 1 configuration is simply illustrative. That is, the receiver **104** could have in the alternative been coupled to an IP router **112**, and the Ethernet switches **114** could have been located upstream in the multicast network. Thus, whether an IP query packet comes directly from the receiver **104** or an IP router **112**, the Ethernet switch **114** is programmed to process either format.

In the present instance, however, it is assumed that the packet received and detected in steps **202** and **204** is an IP query packet, in which case the Ethernet switch **114** proceeds to step **206** where it translates the IP query packet to an Ethernet query packet. FIG. 3 is block diagram depicting a format of an Ethernet query packet according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. In this disclosure, the Ethernet query packet can include one or more of the following telemetry fields:

- An arrival time of the Ethernet query packet at the switch **114**;
- An upstream network element address, which identifies the next network element (i.e., an IP router **112** or Ethernet switch **114**) located adjacent to the switch in question, and upstream towards the source **102** of the multicast data;
- A downstream network element address, which identifies the previous network element (i.e., an IP router **112** or Ethernet switch **114**) located adjacent to the switch in question, and downstream towards the receiver **104**;
- A multicast channel number (or group number), which identifies the channel used between the source **102** and receiver **104** in the multicast network;
- An input packet count at the arrival time, which identifies the number of packets received by the switch at the time the query packet arrives;
- An output packet count at the arrival time, which identifies the number of packets transmitted by the switch at the time the query packet arrives;
- A total packet count at the arrival time, which identifies the total number of packets processed by the switch at the time the query packet arrives;
- A protocol operating at the receiving Ethernet switch (e.g., IGMP);
- A packet hop limit, which sets a limit on how many network elements can be traversed by the query packet; and
- A diagnostic code, which provides a state of operation of the Ethernet switch **114**.

The diagnostic code can in turn can include:

- A no error code corresponding to a no-fault state in the receiving Ethernet switch **114**;
- A wrong interface code corresponding to an IP or Ethernet query packet received by the Ethernet switch from a network element not belonging to the multicast network;

5

A channel route error code corresponding to an IP or Ethernet query packet received from a network element on a multicast network channel different from that used by the receiver; and

A router error code corresponding to receiving an IP query packet from an unexpected IP router.

The foregoing fields are illustrative of the telemetry fields and diagnostic codes that can be used in an Ethernet query packet for isolating and diagnosing faults in a hybrid network of IP routers **112** and Ethernet switches **114**. It would be obvious to an artisan with skill in the art that other telemetry data not mentioned above that can prove useful for isolating faults in a hybrid network such as shown in FIG. **1** is within the scope and spirit of the claimed examples.

Referring back to FIG. **4**, the Ethernet switch **114** populates the aforementioned telemetry fields in step **208**. In step **210**, the switch **114** decrements the packet hop limit. This field is initially established by the receiver **104** with a number that represents the number of allowable network element hops. This field can prove useful in limiting the number of hops a query packet undertakes in a multicast network. It is especially important in that it can be used to destroy query packets that get lost in the network for any apparent reason. As each Ethernet switch **114** receives an Ethernet query packet, this field is decremented in step **210**. If it reaches zero, for instance, the Ethernet switch **114** can assume that the packet is lost, because the limit is set such that the number should never reach zero in a diagnostic session. Since query packets can consume significant processing resources of a switch, it is important that a means be available to destroy lost query packets as performed by steps **212** and **214**.

If the hop limit is not exceeded, however, the Ethernet switch **114** proceeds to step **216** where it checks if it is coupled to the source **102**. If not, the Ethernet switch **114** proceeds to step **218** where it submits the Ethernet query packet to the next network element. If the network element happens to be an Ethernet switch **114**, such switch will process the query packet according to steps **208** through **222**, thereby appending additional telemetry data such as described in FIG. **3**. If, on the other hand, the Ethernet switch **114** is coupled to the source **102**, then the multicast diagnostic trace has been completed, in which case the switch proceeds to step **220** where it translates the Ethernet query packet to an IP response packet and submits it in step **222** back to the receiver **102** through the response route **111** described earlier.

FIG. **5** depicts method **300** operating in the IP routers **112**. In step **302**, a diagnostic packet is received. If the packet is detected in step **304** as an Ethernet query packet, then the router **112** proceeds to step **306** where it translates the Ethernet query packet to a conventional IP query packet. In step **306**, the IP query packet collects the telemetry data of the router much like in the case of a conventional Mtrace packet. If, on the other hand, the diagnostic packet received in step **302** is detected in step **304** as an IP query packet, then step **306** is bypassed and telemetry collection takes place in step **308**. If the router **112** is coupled to the source **102**, it translates the IP query packet to an IP response packet in step **314** and transmits said packet back to the receiver **104** on the response trace **111**. Otherwise, the router **112** proceeds to step **312** where it submits the IP query packet to the next network element.

Methods **200** and **300** describe algorithms operating in the Ethernet switches **114** and IP routers **112** which allow any hybrid configuration of these network elements for conducting an end-to-end diagnostic trace. This disclosure can there-

6

fore provide a means for further visibility into the operations of a multicast network not presently available in prior art systems.

It should be evident by now that the present disclosure can be realized in hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and software. Moreover, the present disclosure can be realized in a centralized fashion, or in a distributed fashion where different elements are spread across several interconnected processors. Thus, any kind of computing device or other apparatus adapted for carrying out methods **200** and **300** described above is suitable for the present disclosure.

It should be also evident that the present disclosure may be used for many applications. Thus, although the description is made for particular arrangements and methods, the intent and concept of the disclosure is suitable and applicable to other arrangements and applications not described herein. It would be clear therefore to those skilled in the art that modifications to the disclosed embodiments described herein could be effected without departing from the spirit and scope of the disclosure.

In accordance with various embodiments, the methods described herein are intended for operation as software programs running on a computer processor. Dedicated hardware implementations including, but not limited to, application specific integrated circuits, programmable logic arrays and other hardware devices can likewise be constructed to implement the methods described herein. Furthermore, alternative software implementations including, but not limited to, distributed processing or component/object distributed processing, parallel processing, or virtual machine processing can also be constructed to implement the methods described herein.

A software program in the present context means any expression, in any language, code or notation, of a set of instructions intended to cause a system having an information processing capability to perform a particular function either directly or after either or both of the following: a) conversion to another language, code or notation; b) reproduction in a different material form.

It should also be noted that the software implementations as described herein are optionally stored on a tangible storage medium, such as: a magnetic medium such as a disk or tape; a magneto-optical or optical medium such as a disk; or a solid state medium such as a memory card or other package that houses one or more read-only (non-volatile) memories, random access memories, other re-writable (volatile) memories. Accordingly, the disclosure is considered to include a tangible storage medium or distribution medium, as listed herein and including art-recognized equivalents and successor media, in which the software implementations herein are stored.

Although the present specification describes components and functions implemented in the embodiments with reference to particular standards and protocols, the disclosure is not limited to such standards and protocols. Each of the standards for Internet and other packet switched network transmission (e.g., TCP/IP, UDP/IP, HTML, HTTP) represent examples of the state of the art that are applicable to the present disclosure. Such standards are periodically superseded by faster or more efficient equivalents having essentially the same functions. Accordingly, replacement standards and protocols having the same functions are considered equivalents.

The described embodiments ought to be construed to be merely illustrative of some of the more prominent features and applications of the disclosure. It should also be understood that the claims are intended to cover the structures

7

described herein as performing the recited function and not only structural equivalents. Therefore, equivalent structures that read on the description should also be construed to be inclusive of the scope of the disclosure as defined in the following claims. Thus, reference should be made to the following claims, rather than to the foregoing specification, as indicating the scope of the disclosure.

What is claimed is:

1. A system comprising:
 - a memory to store instructions; and
 - a processor of an Ethernet switch coupled with the memory, wherein the processor, responsive to executing the instructions, performs operations comprising:
 - receiving an internet protocol query packet generated by a receiver for collecting telemetry data between a source and the receiver in a multicast network;
 - translating the internet protocol query packet to an Ethernet query packet, wherein the Ethernet query packet includes a diagnostic code;
 - collecting in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from the Ethernet switch, wherein a telemetry field of the Ethernet switch includes an arrival time of the Ethernet query packet at the Ethernet switch;
 - submitting the Ethernet query packet to a next network element of the multicast network;
 - monitoring for a hop limit being exceeded; and
 - discarding the Ethernet query packets responsive to the hop limit being exceeded,
 - wherein the telemetry data collected in the Ethernet query packet comprises an input packet count at the arrival time and a total packet count at the arrival time.
2. The system of claim 1, wherein the Ethernet query packet is translated by an internet protocol router into another internet protocol query packet and provided to another next network element of the multicast network.
3. The system of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise collecting in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from a root internet protocol router.
4. The system of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise:
 - collecting in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from a root internet protocol router; and
 - translating the Ethernet query packet into an internet protocol response packet.
5. The system of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise:
 - collecting in the Ethernet query packet telemetry data from a root internet protocol router;
 - translating the Ethernet query packet into an internet protocol response packet; and
 - submitting the internet protocol response packet to the receiver.
6. The system of claim 5, wherein the Ethernet switch comprises an internet group management protocol snooping Ethernet switch.
7. The system of claim 1, wherein the telemetry data collected in the Ethernet query packet comprises an upstream network element address, a multicast channel number and a protocol operating at the Ethernet switch.
8. The system of claim 1, wherein the diagnostic code comprises a channel route error code.
9. The system of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise, in response to the receiver initializing the hop limit in the internet protocol query packet, decrementing the hop limit.

8

10. A method comprising:
 - receiving, by a system including a processor, an internet protocol query packet generated by a receiver for collecting telemetry data between a source and the receiver in a network;
 - translating, by the system, the internet protocol query packet to an Ethernet query packet;
 - collecting, by the system in the Ethernet query packet, telemetry data from an Ethernet switch; and
 - submitting, by the system, the Ethernet query packet to a next network element of the network,
 - wherein the Ethernet query packet is received by and translated by an internet protocol router into another internet protocol query packet and provided to another next network element of the network, and
 - wherein a telemetry field of the Ethernet Switch includes an arrival time of the Ethernet query packet at the Ethernet switch, and wherein the Ethernet query packet includes a multicast channel number, an input packet count at the arrival time, a total packet count at the arrival time, and a protocol operating at the Ethernet switch.
11. The method of claim 10, comprising:
 - monitoring for a hop limit being exceeded; and
 - discarding Ethernet query packets responsive to the hop limit being exceeded.
12. The method of claim 10, comprising:
 - collecting in the internet protocol query packet telemetry data from the internet protocol router; and
 - translating the internet protocol query packet into an internet protocol response packet.
13. The method of claim 10, comprising:
 - collecting in the internet protocol query packet telemetry data from the internet protocol router;
 - translating the internet protocol query packet into an internet protocol response packet; and
 - submitting the internet protocol response packet to the receiver.
14. A method, comprising:
 - receiving, by a system including a processor, an internet protocol query packet generated by a receiver for collecting telemetry data between a source and the receiver in a network;
 - translating, by the system, the internet protocol query packet to an Ethernet query packet;
 - collecting, by the system in the Ethernet query packet, telemetry data from an Ethernet switch; and
 - submitting, by the system, the Ethernet query packet to a next network element of the network,
 - wherein the Ethernet query packet is translated by an internet protocol router into another internet protocol query packet and provided to another next network element of the network, and
 - wherein the Ethernet query packet includes a multicast channel number, a total packet count at arrival time and a diagnostic code, and further comprising collecting, by the system in the internet protocol query packet, telemetry data from a root internet protocol router, wherein the diagnostic code includes a no error code corresponding to a no-fault state in the Ethernet switch.
15. The method of claim 14, wherein a telemetry field of the Ethernet Switch includes an arrival time of the Ethernet query packet at the Ethernet switch, and further comprising:
 - collecting in the internet protocol query packet telemetry data from the internet protocol router; and
 - translating, by the internet protocol router, the internet protocol query packet into an internet protocol response packet.

16. The method of claim **14**, comprising:

collecting in the internet protocol query packet telemetry
data from the internet protocol router;

translating, by the internet protocol router, the internet
protocol query packet into an internet protocol response 5
packet; and

submitting, by the internet protocol router, the internet
protocol response packet to the receiver.

17. The method of claim **14**, comprising sending a prede-
termined internet protocol query packet from the internet 10
protocol router that generates a router error code at the Eth-
ernet switch.

* * * * *